UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

-V-

22-CR-49-04 (PAE)

ORDER

MICHAEL GARCIA,

Defendant.

PAUL A. ENGELMAYER, District Judge:

A *Fatico* hearing is scheduled in this case for Monday, March 6, 2023, at 10:00 am. The Court has received the parties' helpful pre-hearing letters. *See* Dkt. 92 (Government letter); Dkt. 96 (defense letter). This order is intended as guidance to counsel in advance of Monday's hearing.

It does not appear from the pre-hearing letters that either party intends to offer live testimony. And counsel for each side has notified the Court's deputy that live testimony is not anticipated. It also does not appear that there will be any objections to the Court's receipt of any of the exhibits that the Government has furnished the Court in advance of the hearing, and which both sides have drawn upon in their pre-hearing letters. Accordingly, the Court anticipates that the *Fatico* hearing will begin with the offer by the Government, and – barring the unexpected – the unopposed receipt into evidence of these materials.

The Court will then entertain argument from each side, beginning with the Government, as to the import of the evidence received as to defendant Garcia. Without limiting the range of topics that counsel may choose to address, three issues are of particular interest to the Court: Case 1:22-cr-00049-PAE Document 97 Filed 03/02/23 Page 2 of 2

1. Did Mr. Garcia lie during his proffer, including about whether his co-defendants

robbed him on January 11, 2022?

2. If so, did such a lie, or lies, have the capacity to cause baseless criminal charge(s)

to be brought against any or all of his co-defendants?

3. To the extent that a lie or lies to this effect are found, should these (a) affect the

Guidelines calculation as to Mr. Garcia, including whether he should qualify for

the safety valve, whether he is entitled to credit for acceptance of responsibility,

and whether an obstruction of justice enhancement is in order; or (b) not affect the

Guidelines calculation, but be considered by the Court to the extent bearing on

one or more section 3553(a) factors?

The Court expects that the Government, in its argument on these points, will not be

inhibited by its having entered into a plea agreement with the defense that contained a particular

Guidelines calculation. The Court expects the Government to make a fresh assessment of the

issues raised by the Court.

SO ORDERED.

PAUL A. ENGELMAYER

United States District Judge

Dated: March 2, 2023

New York, New York

2